	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/694,756	ONIZUKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DHIRU R PATEL	2831
I Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>DHIRU R PATEL</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Gerhard Thielman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 5-11		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
The above listed claims are being revised to clear up 112 second paragraph problems.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Examiner/SPE Signature) 7/7/04 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) 7/7/04 (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)